

THE EVOLVED RED STELLAR CONTENT OF M32

T. J. Davidge ¹

Canadian Gemini Office, Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics,
National Research Council of Canada, 5071 W. Saanich Road,
Victoria, B.C. Canada V8X 4M6
email:tim.davidge@hia.nrc.ca

ABSTRACT

Near-infrared images obtained with the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) Adaptive Optics Bonnette (AOB) are used to investigate the stellar content of the Local Group compact elliptical galaxy M32. Observations of a field 2.3 arcmin from the galaxy center reveal a large population of asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars, and comparisons with models indicate that these objects have an age $\log(t_{Gyr}) \leq 9.3$. The AGB population is very homogeneous, with $\Delta \log(t_{Gyr}) \leq \pm 0.1$ dex and $\Delta[M/H] \leq \pm 0.3$ dex. The reddest AGB stars have $J - K \leq 1.5$, and it is suggested that the very red stars seen in earlier, less deep, surveys are the result of large photometric errors. The bolometric AGB luminosity function (LF) of this field is in excellent agreement with that of the Galactic bulge. Based on the integrated brightness of AGB stars brighter than the RGB-tip, which occurs at $K = 17.8$, it is concluded that intermediate-age stars account for roughly 25% of the total K light and $10\% \pm 5\%$ of the total mass in this field.

A field close to the center of M32 was also observed. The brightest stars within a few arcsec of the nucleus have $K = 15.5$, and the density of these objects is consistent with that predicted from the outer regions of the galaxy after scaling according to surface brightness. Moreover, the K luminosity function (LF) of bright sources between 20 and 30 arcsec of the nucleus is well matched by the LF of the outer regions of the galaxy after accounting for differences in surface brightness and correcting for the effects of crowding. It is concluded that the relative size of the intermediate age component with respect to other populations does not change with radius over much of the galaxy. However, the integrated $J - K$ color and $2.3\mu\text{m}$ CO index change with radius

¹Visiting Astronomer, Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope, which is operated by the National Research Council of Canada, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, and the University of Hawaii

within a few tenths of an arcsec of the galaxy center indicating that, contrary to what might be inferred from observations at visible wavelengths, the integrated photometric properties of the central regions of M32 differ from those of the surrounding galaxy.

Subject headings: galaxies: individual (M32) – galaxies: Local Group – galaxies: stellar content – stars: AGB and post-AGB

1. INTRODUCTION

The Local Group compact elliptical galaxy M32 has long been recognized as an important stepping-stone for interpreting the stellar content of more distant galaxies. The structural characteristics of M32 appear to be related to massive classical ellipticals (e.g. Kormendy 1985). Nevertheless, M32 is also the closest example of a class of compact elliptical galaxies that are junior partners in hierarchal systems (Prugniel, Nieto, & Simien 1987), suggesting that the high central concentration may be the result of tidal interactions (e.g. Faber 1973). On the other hand, Nieto & Prugniel (1987) find that the separation between M31 and M32 decays at a rate of $2 - 3 \text{ kpc Gyr}^{-1}$. As a result, the interactions between M31 and M32 were likely less intense in the past, and Nieto & Prugniel (1987) conclude that the structural characteristics and mass of M32 have not changed dramatically with time. While it is a matter of debate whether or not M32 and classical ellipticals share a common evolutionary heritage, it is clear that there is little hope of understanding the stellar contents of more distant galaxies if we can not understand the stellar content of a nearby, resolved system like M32.

M32 has been the target of numerous spectroscopic investigations, and these have detected signatures of a relatively blue main sequence turn-off (O’Connell 1980, Rose 1985), and an extended asymptotic giant branch (AGB) (Davidge 1990), both of which are indicative of an intermediate-age population. M32 also has a very red ultraviolet/visible color when compared with other elliptical galaxies (Burstein et al. 1988), and this can be explained if a large intermediate age population is present (e.g. Ohl et al. 1998, Yi et al. 1999).

During the past decade there has been increased emphasis on studies of the resolved stellar content of M32. Imaging of the outer regions of M32 at visible wavelengths failed to detect an extended AGB (Freedman 1989, Davidge & Jones 1992; Grillmair et al 1996). This is not unexpected, as the visible light from highly evolved metal-rich giants is affected by line blanketing (Bica, Barbuy, & Ortolani 1991), with the result that metal-rich AGB-tip

stars can be significantly fainter at visible wavelengths than less evolved metal-poor stars. The effects of line blanketing are greatly reduced at wavelengths longward of $1\mu\text{m}$ (e.g. Davidge & Simons 1994), and infrared imaging surveys have discovered AGB stars as bright as $M_K = -9$ in M32 (Freedman 1992, Elston & Silva 1992), which are much more luminous than AGB stars in Galactic globular clusters.

The outer regions of M32 contain stars spanning a range of metallicities (Freedman 1989; Davidge & Jones 1992), with the peak of the metallicity distribution function (MDF) occurring between $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -0.2$ and -0.3 (Grillmair et al. 1996). The MDF of M32 at intermediate radii can not be fit with a simple one-zone enrichment model (Grillmair et al. 1996), suggesting a complex chemical enrichment history, possibly like that proposed for the massive elliptical galaxy NGC 5128 by Harris, Harris, & Poole (1999). Long slit spectra (Cohen 1979, Davidge, de Robertis, & Yee 1990, Davidge 1991, Hardy et al. 1994) and the spatial distribution of resolved and unresolved UV sources (Brown et al. 1998) indicate that population gradients are present, with mean metallicity being one of the parameters that likely changes with radius. While the central regions of M32 contain strong-lined stars that originate from a very metal-rich component (Rose 1994), only a modest population of UV-bright sources, which are thought to have evolved from the extreme horizontal branch (EHB) of a very metal-rich population, have been detected in M32, and Brown et al. (1998) conclude that the progenitors of these objects account for only 0.5% of all main sequence stars.

In the present study, high angular resolution near-infrared images of two fields, sampling different regions of the galaxy, are used to investigate the evolved red stellar content of M32. In addition to probing highly evolved stars, observations at infrared wavelengths also provide important information for decoupling the effects of age and metallicity in integrated light measurements (Davidge 1991). Finally, the contrast between the reddest, most evolved, stars and the unresolved, relatively blue, body of the galaxy is enhanced in the infrared with respect to the visible, making it easier to resolve evolved stars in crowded fields.

The observations, the data reduction procedures, and the photometric measurements are discussed in §2. The stellar contents of the two fields are investigated in §3, and it is demonstrated that the density of the brightest AGB stars scales with surface brightness at distances in excess of a few arcsec from the nucleus, indicating that the relative size of the intermediate age component measured with respect to the rest of the galaxy is constant over a large range of radii. However, within 1 arcsec of the nucleus the integrated near-infrared broad-band colors and the $2.3\mu\text{m}$ CO index vary with radius. A brief summary and discussion of the results follows in §4.

2. OBSERVATIONS, REDUCTIONS, AND PHOTOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS

The data were recorded with the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) Adaptive Optics Bonnette (AOB) and KIR imager on the nights of UT September 6 and 7, 1998. The AOB has been described by Rigaut et al. (1998). The detector in KIR is a 1024×1024 Hg:Cd:Te array with 0.034 arcsec pixels, so that the imaged field is 34×34 arcsec.

A field that included the nucleus of M32 (the ‘inner’ field) was observed through J , H , Ks , $2.2\mu\text{m}$ continuum, and $2.3\mu\text{m}$ CO filters. The galaxy nucleus, which was used as the reference source for AO compensation, was offset 10 arcsec from the field center so that the minor axis of M32 could be sampled out to a distance of 40 arcsec. A complete observing sequence for each filter consisted of 5 co-added exposures recorded at 4 dither positions, which were offset in a 0.5×0.5 arcsec square pattern. The total exposure times were 1200 sec in each of J , H , and Ks , 1800 sec in the $2.2\mu\text{m}$ continuum filter, and 2400 sec in CO. The seeing conditions were mediocrity when these data were recorded, and the delivered image quality is 0.4 arcsec FWHM.

Deep J , H , and Ks images, with 1200 sec total exposure times per filter, were also recorded of a field 2.3 arcmin from the nucleus (the ‘outer’ field) centered on the star GSC 02801 – 02082, which was used as the reference source for AO compensation. This field is slightly offset from the minor axis of the galaxy, and the data were recorded using the observing sequence described for the inner field. The seeing conditions were very good, and the delivered image quality is 0.20 arcsec FWHM in J and 0.15 arcsec in H and Ks .

The data were reduced using the procedure described by Davidge & Courteau (1999), which consists of the following steps: (1) subtraction of dark frames, (2) division by dome flats, (3) subtraction of a DC sky level from each image, and (4) subtraction of fringe and thermal emission patterns, using calibration frames that were constructed from blank sky fields. The images for each field were then aligned and median-combined on a filter-by-filter basis. The final Ks images of these fields are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Stellar brightnesses were measured with the PSF-fitting program ALLSTAR (Stetson & Harris 1988), using PSFs and target lists generated with DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987). A single PSF was constructed for each field + filter combination. The use of a single reference star for AO-compensation produces a variable PSF (‘anisoplanaticism’), and this is a source of photometric errors if a single PSF is adopted for the entire field. However, studies of globular clusters conducted with the CFHT AOB demonstrate that the photometric uncertainties introduced by PSF variations amount to only a few percent over the KIR field (Davidge & Courteau 1999; Davidge 2000a), and an examination of the PSF-fitting

residuals in the inner and outer field M32 data indicate that PSF variations do not introduce uncertainties of more than a few percent. The modest scatter in the M32 outer field CMDs (§3) is further evidence that PSF variations do not seriously affect the current photometry.

The photometric calibration was defined using standard stars from Casali & Hawarden (1992) and Elias et al. (1982), which were observed throughout the 3 night run. The unresolved body of M32 creates a non-uniform background in the inner field that complicates efforts to measure stellar brightness, and this was removed using the iterative procedure described by Davidge, Le Fevre, & Clark (1991).

3. RESULTS

3.1. The Outer Field

The $(K, H - K)$ and $(K, J - K)$ CMDs of the M32 outer field are shown in Figure 3. The RGB and upper portions of the AGB are clearly evident in these data: the onset of the former causes a slight broadening in the color distribution when $K \geq 17.8$, while the latter extends from $K = 17.5$ to $K = 16$, and has a scatter of ± 0.05 mag in $H - K$ and $J - K$. There is also a 0.2 mag gap in the CMDs near $K = 17.6$.

Data from Table 1 of Freedman (1992) are compared with the current observations in the right hand panel of Figure 3. Freedman observed an area four times larger than the AOB field, and so it is not surprising that she detected sources that are brighter than those in the present dataset. The CMDs constructed from the AOB data have less scatter than the Freedman observations, and extend 1 – 1.5 mag fainter in K . The ridgeline of the Freedman observations, which can be traced by identifying the colors with the highest concentration of data points, is located near the left hand side of her data distribution and matches the locus of the AOB data. A gap in the CMD immediately above the RGB-tip is also evident in the Freedman data.

Freedman suggested that the objects in her dataset with $J - K \geq 1.5$ are carbon stars. Stars with this color are not seen in the current dataset, even though a significant number would have been expected based on the Freedman observations. While stars with $J - K \geq 1.5$ are present in the Elston & Silva (1992) $(K, J - K)$ CMD, the relative number of these objects with respect to bluer stars is much smaller than in the Freedman (1992) dataset. Freedman (1992) notes that some stars in her study have large photometric uncertainties, which may be as great as 0.5 mag in $J - H$ and 0.2 mag in $H - K$. We speculate that the reddest stars in her sample are objects with large photometric errors.

The K LF of the outer field is plotted in Figure 4. Artificial star experiments indicate that incompleteness and photometric errors become significant when $K = 20$, and so only data brighter than this are shown in Figure 4. The dashed line shows a power-law that was fit to the LF between $K = 18$ and $K = 19.5$ using the method of least squares. The fitted power-law has an exponent 0.16 ± 0.06 , which is near the low end of what is seen in the Galactic bulge (e.g. Davidge 2000b). When $K \leq 18$ the LF consistently falls below the extrapolated power-law fit, indicating that the RGB-tip produces a significant discontinuity in the K LF, even though models predict that the brightness of the RGB-tip is sensitive to age and metallicity near $2\mu\text{m}$ (see below).

The bolometric LF of stars in the outer field, calculated using the bolometric corrections for field giants shown in Figure 1b of Frogel & Whitford (1987), is plotted in Figure 5. A distance modulus of $\mu_0 = 24.3$ has been adopted, based on an RGB-tip brightness of $I = 20.5$ for M32 (Davidge & Jones 1992), which is 0.1 mag brighter than that in M31 (Davidge 1993), for which $\mu_0 = 24.4 \pm 0.1$ (van den Bergh 2000). The data have also been corrected for a foreground reddening of $E(B-V) = 0.08$ (Burstein & Heiles 1984).

The most luminous stars in the M32 outer field have $M_{bol} \sim -6$, which is comparable to what is seen in Baade’s Window (e.g. Frogel & Whitford 1987). The dashed line in Figure 5 is the LF of inner bulge giants measured by Davidge (1998), which has been scaled to match the number of stars in the M32 field with $M_{bol} \leq -3.5$. There is excellent agreement between the bulge and M32 LFs.

The brightnesses of the AGB-tip and RGB-tip from the $z = 0.001$ ($[M/H] = -1.2$), $z = 0.004$ ($[M/H] = -0.6$), and $z = 0.020$ ($[M/H] = 0.1$) Bertelli et al. (1994) models are compared with the observations in Figure 6. M_K was computed from the M_V and $V - K$ entries in Tables 8, 9, and 11 of Bertelli et al. (1994), while $J - K$ colors were calculated using the field giant color relations listed in Table 3 of Bessell & Brett (1988).

The model sequences in Figure 6 consistently fall to the right of the outer field observations, although the significance of this result is low due to uncertainties in, for example, the mixing length and the adopted relation between $V - K$ and $J - K$. If the distance to M32 has been overestimated then the agreement along the color axis will improve slightly. While there are uncertainties in the color calibration, the relative model-to-model color variations are likely reliable, and the scatter on the upper AGB is consistent with a dispersion of ± 0.3 dex in $[M/H]$ or ± 0.1 dex in age.

Comparisons between models and observations that are based on brightness are more reliable than those that rely on color. The inner field observations suggest that the AGB-tip occurs near $K = 15.5$ in M32 (§3.2), which is consistent with the brightest stars

detected in the Freedman (1992) and Elston & Silva (1992) datasets, and corresponds to $M_K = -8.8 \pm 0.1$ if $\mu_0 = 24.3 \pm 0.1$. It is evident from Figure 6 that models with $\log(t_{Gyr}) \leq 9.3$ are required to match the AGB-tip brightness. Caution should be exercised when attempting to derive absolute ages from a highly evolved state such as the AGB-tip, and the age limits inferred from Figure 6 are uncomfortably close to those defined by the visible spectrum of M32, which indicate that the galaxy does not contain a large population with $\log(t_{Gyr}) \leq 9.0$ (Bica et al. 1990).

3.2. The Circumnuclear Region

In this section the stellar content in the inner M32 field is compared with that in the outer field. Mean age and/or metallicity may change rapidly with radius near the center of M32, and so the inner field was divided into three regions, sampling distinct stellar density regimes centered on the nucleus. The radial limits and the mean surface brightnesses of these regions are listed in Table 1. The effects of crowding in Region 1 are extreme, and the stellar content in this area will be investigated using integrated colors in §3.3.

The K LFs of Regions 2 and 3 are shown in Figure 7. The lower stellar density in Region 3 means that the brightness in K where incompleteness becomes significant, estimated from the point at which number counts in the LFs decline, is 0.5 – 1.0 mag fainter in Region 3 than in Region 2.

Many of the sources detected in Regions 2 and 3 are not individual stars, but blends of two or more moderately faint objects. The effects of blending become less severe with increasing brightness, and the densities of stars with $K = 16$ are sufficiently low that the sources with $K = 15.5$ in Regions 2 and 3 are likely individual stars. This can be checked by comparing the densities of $K = 15.5$ stars in the Freedman (1992) and Elston & Silva (1992) datasets with those in Region 2 and 3 after correcting for differences in surface brightness.

The observed densities of $K = 15.5$ stars in the inner field are 240 ± 50 per square arcmin (Region 2) and 14 ± 8 per square arcmin (Region 3). Freedman (1992) detected 4 stars with K between 15.75 and 15.25 in a 1.11 square arcmin area 2 arcmin south of the M32 nucleus, where the surface brightness is $\mu_r = 21.9$ mag per square arcmin (Kent 1987). If the spatial distribution of $K = 15.5$ stars follows the integrated light profile of the galaxy then the densities of these objects in the circumnuclear region should be 200 ± 100 per square arcmin (Region 2) and 50 ± 25 per square arcmin (Region 3). Elston & Silva (1992) detected 10 stars with K between 15.25 and 15.75 in a 16 square arcmin field 3 arcmin

east of the nucleus, where the surface brightness is $\mu_r = 23.1$ mag per square arcmin. The densities of $K = 15.5$ stars predicted from these data is 110 ± 11 per square arcmin (Region 2) and 27 ± 3 per square arcmin (Region 3). The bright star densities predicted for Regions 2 and 3 from the Freedman and Elston & Silva studies agree within the estimated uncertainties, and the unweighted means are 150 ± 50 (Region 2) and 39 ± 13 (Regions 3). Thus, the observed densities of bright red stars in Regions 2 and 3 differ from the densities inferred from the outer regions of M32 at less than the 2σ level.

The calculations described above indicate that the the brightest red stars have a spatial distribution that follows the surface brightness profile of the galaxy to within a few arcsec of the nucleus. These comparisons can be extended to fainter stars by scaling the outer field LF to match the surface brightnesses in Regions 2 and 3. The effects of crowding are significant among all but the brightest sources in Regions 2 and 3, and statistical crowding corrections appropriate for each Region were applied to the outer field LF after calculating the probability that two stars in a given brightness interval occur in the same resolution element, the diameter of which equals the FWHM of the PSF, and thus produce a blended image that would be counted in the next brightest LF bin.

The outer field LF, scaled and corrected for crowding based on the stellar densities in Regions 2 and 3, is shown as a dashed line in Figure 7. While the agreement with the Region 3 LF is excellent when $K \leq 17$, the comparison with the Region 2 LF is inconclusive, as incompleteness becomes significant in Region 2 when $K > 16$. The data thus indicate that at distances in excess of 20 arcsec from the center of M32, which is the inner radius of Region 3, the evolved red stellar content scales with μ_r . Therefore, the intermediate-age population is not more centrally concentrated than other populations at distances in excess of 20 arcsec from the nucleus. While the density of $K = 15.5$ stars suggests that this may also be the case for radii as small as 5 arcsec, which is the inner radius of Region 2, this can not be verified with fainter stars until data with better image quality are obtained.

Although M32 contains a metallicity gradient (e.g. Hardy et al. 1994), this will not have a major impact on the comparisons discussed above, as the radial changes in mean metallicity are modest. If $\Delta\text{Mg}_2/\Delta\log(r) = -0.030 \pm 0.005$ and $\Delta < Fe > / \Delta\log(r) = -0.57 \pm 0.11$ (Hardy et al. 1994), then the difference in indices between the outer field and Region 3 ($\Delta\log(r) = -0.7$) is $\Delta\text{Mg}_2 = 0.02$ and $\Delta < Fe > = 0.36$, while between the outer field and Region 2 ($\Delta\log(r) = -1.0$) $\Delta\text{Mg}_2 = 0.03$ and $\Delta < Fe > = 0.57$. These correspond to $\Delta[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.1$ dex (Region 3) and $\Delta[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.2$ dex (Region 2) with respect to the outer field according to the solar metallicity models of Worthey (1994).

3.3. The Nucleus of M32

The radial behaviour of $J - H$, $J - K$ and CO near the center of M32 is investigated in Figure 8, where radial averages of these quantities in 0.4 arcsec annuli are plotted. $J - K$ becomes bluer when $r \leq 1$ arcsec, while the CO index becomes larger. Given that $J - H$ does not change markedly with radius, it appears that the color gradients are driven primarily by changes in the spectral-energy distribution (SED) at wavelengths longward of $\geq 2\mu\text{m}$. The colors in Figure 8 are insensitive to uncertainties in sky brightness, which was measured in the upper left hand corner of Figure 2, because they are restricted to the high surface brightness central regions of the galaxy.

Peletier (1993) used images with an angular resolution of 1.5 arcsec FWHM to investigate near-infrared color gradients in M32. The data in Table 2 of Peletier (1993) indicate that within the central few arcsec of M32 there is a clear tendency for $J - K$ to weaken with decreasing radius, and for CO to strengthen, in qualitative agreement with the trends in Figure 8.

Several studies have measured the visible and UV colors near the center of M32. Michard & Nieto (1991) examined data with 0.7 – 0.9 arcsec resolution and concluded that $B - R$ and $U - B$ do not vary with radius near the nucleus of M32. However, the measurements listed in Table 2 of Peletier (1993), indicate that $U - R$ and $B - R$ may redden towards smaller radii. Lauer et al. (1998) examined images recorded with WFPC2 and concluded that $V - I$ does not change with radius, while $U - V$ may redden with decreasing radius. Ohl et al. (1998) find that the color of M32 in the far-ultraviolet becomes significantly redder towards smaller radii, although this trend is defined with measurements at distances in excess of 2 arcsec from the center, and so may not be related to changes in stellar content near the nucleus. The published data indicate that visible and near-infrared colors do not change dramatically with radius in M32 when $r \geq 1$ arcsec, and none of the studies detect a central bluing in the UV and visible portions of the spectrum. When combined with the results in Figure 8, the published data suggest that the SED of M32 undergoes complex changes close to the nucleus, becoming bluer with decreasing radius longward of $2\mu\text{m}$, not changing with radius at visible wavelengths, and possibly becoming redder with decreasing radius shortward of $0.4\mu\text{m}$.

The $(J - H, H - K)$ and $(CO, J - K)$ diagrams, shown in Figure 9, provide a means of probing the SED near the nucleus of M32. When $r \geq 1$ arcsec the near-infrared SED is similar to that of star clusters in the Magellanic Clouds. However, at smaller radii the M32 data depart from a SED appropriate for star clusters, in the sense that while $J - H$ remains at a value appropriate for stellar systems, $H - K$ decreases by more than 0.1 mag. When $r \leq 1$ arcsec $J - K$ decreases towards smaller radii, while the CO index increases.

The large nuclear CO index of M32 is not easily explained. Mobasher & James (1996), and James & Mobasher (1999) measured the strength of $2.3\mu\text{m}$ CO absorption in a moderately large number of elliptical galaxies. These data were recorded through 2.4 arcsec wide slits, and hence are not dominated by light from the galaxy nuclei; nevertheless, these data still provide insight into the CO index of composite metal-rich systems. After transforming the spectroscopic CO measurements into the photometric CO system using the relation defined by Doyon, Joseph, & Wright (1994), it is concluded that $\text{CO}_{\text{max}} = 0.21$, compared with $\text{CO} = 0.36$ near the nucleus of M32. The only other stellar system having a published CO index with a spatial resolution comparable to the M32 observations is the Galactic Center, which would have $\text{CO} = 0.26$ if viewed at a distance of 1 Mpc (Davidge 2000c).

Luminous red giants in the vicinity of SgrA and red supergiants in the Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds have CO indices comparable to the nucleus of M32 (Elias et al. 1985, Kenyon 1988, Davidge 1998). However, these stars have $J - K > 1$, and hence do not provide suitable templates for the nucleus of M32. Therefore, it appears that the near-infrared SED of the M32 nucleus differs from that of benchmark systems such as nearby bright giants, star clusters, and the central few arcsec of elliptical galaxies.

4. SUMMARY & DISCUSSION

Near-infrared images have been used to investigate the stellar content of the Local Group compact elliptical galaxy M32. AGB stars as bright as $K = 15.5$, which were detected in earlier infrared studies of the outer regions of the galaxy, are also found near the center of the galaxy. The number density of these objects increases towards smaller radii, indicating that they are actual members of M32, and not luminous AGB stars in the outer disk of M31. Hence, these data confirm the presence of the intermediate-age population predicted by spectroscopic investigations. The bright AGB stars in the outer regions of M32 have $J - K \leq 1.5$, and it is suggested that the very red objects detected by Freedman (1992) have large photometric errors.

The K LF of the brightest AGB stars scales with integrated surface brightness to within 20 arcsec of the galaxy center, suggesting that the relative frequency of bright AGB stars, as measured with respect to fainter stars, does not change over most of the galaxy. This does not rule out the presence of a subtle (± 1 Gyr) age gradient in M32, since the brightness of the AGB-tip changes slowly with age in all but the youngest intermediate-age populations. The UV portion of the spectrum is very age-sensitive during intermediate epochs (Yi et al. 1999), and an age gradient, in the sense that younger stars occur at

smaller radii, provides one explanation for the UV color of M32 increasing with decreasing radius (O’Connell et al. 1992).

The data presented in this paper indicate that the near-infrared SED changes within a few tenths of an arcsec of the center of M32, and that the center of the galaxy has colors that differ from those of star clusters and the main bodies of elliptical galaxies. The central properties of M32 are peculiar in other ways. For example, the ratio of central x-ray flux to mass is the smallest of any known super-massive black hole, suggesting that the central object may be fuel-starved, or is not an efficient accretor (Loewenstein et al. 1998). In addition, the gravitational field from the central object influences the central arcsec of M32 (van der Marel 1999), so variations in stellar content and/or morphology might be expected to occur in this area. However, the core of M32 lacks conspicuous structure at UV (Cole et al. 1998) and visible (Lauer et al. 1998) wavelengths. M32 also lacks a centrally concentrated blue population, and this is puzzling since stellar interactions in the dense nuclear regions might be expected to produce a large number of blue stragglers (Lauer et al. 1998). The changes in near-infrared photometric properties detected in the current study occur on an angular scale that is comparable to the region influenced by the central black hole (van der Marel 1999), suggesting a possible connection. The ‘stubborn refusal of M32 to reveal any departures from utter normalcy at small radii’ noted by Lauer et al. (1998) appears to break down when data spanning a broader range of wavelengths are considered.

The relative size of the intermediate-age population with respect to the rest of the galaxy can be estimated from the integrated brightness of AGB stars brighter than the RGB-tip. The integrated brightness of the outer field based on the Kent (1987) surface brightness profile, assuming that $V - r = 0.2$ for a typical spheroidal galaxy (Kent 1987) and that $V - K = 3.1$ for M32 (Frogel et al. 1978), is $K = 11.8$. For comparison, the integrated brightness of stars on the upper AGB is $K = 13.5$, so luminous AGB stars contribute 20% of the total light from the outer field in K .

Frogel, Mould, & Blanco (1990) studied the near-infrared photometric properties of AGB stars in a sample of SMC and LMC clusters, and 11 of these clusters (NGCs 411, 419, 1751, 1806, 1846, 1978, 2108, 2121, 2154, 2213, and 2231) have SWB types V, V-VI, and VI, indicating that they have ages of a few Gyr, and integrated K brightness measurements (Persson et al. 1983). The ratio of light in K from bright AGB stars to that of the entire cluster is a well-defined quantity among these objects, with a mean value 0.81 ± 0.02 . Therefore, if the intermediate age component in the M32 outer field has an age of a few Gyr then this population accounts for roughly 25% of the total light in K . The M/L ratio in K of an intermediate age population that has a Salpeter IMF and an age of a few Gyr is one-half to one-third that of an old population (Buzzoni 1989). If the main body of

M32 is relatively old, then the intermediate-age population in the outer field thus accounts for roughly 10% of the total mass, with an estimated uncertainty $\pm 5\%$. For comparison, Bica et al. (1990) predict that the central regions of M32 contain an intermediate-age population that accounts for 15% of the mass. The good agreement between these two mass estimates, obtained using very different techniques in different parts of the galaxy, is further evidence that the intermediate-age population in M32 is uniformly distributed throughout the galaxy, as expected based on the comparisons in §3.2.

The presence of an intermediate age population accounting for 10% of the galaxy mass indicates that M32 had a significant ISM a few Gyr in the past. What was the source of the gas from which these stars formed? M31 is a nearby reservoir of star-forming material, and there are signs that M32 has interacted recently (Cepa & Beckman 1988) with material in the disk of this galaxy (Byrd 1976, 1978; Sofue & Kato 1981). However, if M32 was able to cull large amounts of gas from M31 during intermediate epochs then it must have been a special event, as subsequent passages through the disk of M31 have not produced noticeable bursts of star formation.

Mass ejected from evolved stars is another source of an ISM. Sage, Welch, & Mitchell (1998) estimate that stellar mass loss should have produced an ISM in M32 with mass $3 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$ since the last interaction with M31. This is consistent with the mass of HI within the central 3 square arcmin, which Emerson (1974) measures to be $\leq 6 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$. However, based on the non-detection of CO 1 - 0 emission from M32, Sage et al. (1998) conclude that the mass of H_2 is $\leq 5.1 \times 10^3 M_{\odot}$ within the central 160 pc of the galaxy.

The mean separation between M32 and M31 was significantly greater in the past (Nieto & Prugniel 1987), and M32 could have built up a larger ISM from stellar mass loss during earlier epochs due to decreased tidal interactions with M31. If the bright AGB stars formed from gas that originated in M32 then these objects should be among the most metal-rich in the galaxy, with metallicities within a factor of 2 of solar according to the Grillmair et al. (1996) MDF. High-quality visible and near-infrared spectra can be obtained of the brightest AGB stars using instruments on 4 or 8 metre telescopes, thereby providing a direct means of measuring the metallicities of these objects. If the AGB stars are found to be relatively metal-poor then a source of gas external to M32 would seem likely; for example, if star formation in M32 during intermediate epochs was fueled by the accretion of the high velocity clouds that have been interpreted as the remnants of the material from which the Local Group formed, then the AGB stars would have $[Fe/H] \leq -1$ (Blitz et al. 1999).

REFERENCES

- Bertelli, G., Bressan, A., Chiosi, C., Fagotto, F., & Nasi, E. 1994, *A&AS*, 106, 275
- Bessell, M. S., & Brett, J. M. 1988, *PASP*, 100, 1134
- Bica, E., Alloin, D., & Schmidt, A. A. 1990, *A&A*, 228, 23
- Bica, E., Barbuy, B., & Ortolani, S. 1991, *ApJ*, 382, L15
- Blitz, L., Spregel, D. N., Teuben, P. J., Hartmann, D., & Burton, W. B. 1999, *ApJ*, 514, 818
- Brown, T. M., Ferguson, H. C., Stanford, S. A., & Deharveng, J-M 1998, *ApJ*, 504, 113
- Burstein, D., & Heiles, C. 1984, *ApJS*, 54, 33
- Burstein, D., Bertola, F., Buson, L. M., Faber, S. M., & Lauer, T. R. 1988, *ApJ*, 328, 440
- Buzzoni, A. 1989, *ApJS*, 71, 817
- Byrd, G. G. 1976, *ApJ*, 208, 688
- Byrd, G. G. 1978, *ApJ*, 226, 70
- Casali, M., & Hawarden, T. 1992, *JCMT-UKIRT Newsletter*, 4, 33
- Cepa, J., & Beckman, J. E. 1988, *A&A*, 200, 21
- Cohen, J. G. 1979, *ApJ*, 228, 405
- Cole, A. A. et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 505, 230
- Davidge, T. J. 1990, *AJ*, 99, 561
- Davidge, T. J. 1991, *AJ*, 101, 884
- Davidge, T. J. 1993, *ApJ*, 409, 190
- Davidge, T. J. 1998, *AJ*, 115, 2374
- Davidge, T. J. 2000a, *ApJS*, 126,105
- Davidge, T. J. 2000b, submitted to *AJ*
- Davidge, T. J. 2000c, *AJ*, 119, 748
- Davidge, T. J., & Courteau, S. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 1297
- Davidge, T. J., & Jones, J. H. 1992, *AJ*, 104, 1365
- Davidge, T. J., & Simons, D. A. 1994, *AJ*, 107, 240
- Davidge, T. J., De Robertis, M. M., & Yee, H. K. C. 1990, *AJ*, 100, 1143
- Davidge, T. J., Le Fevre, O., & Clark, C. C. 1991, *ApJ*, 370, 559

- Doyon, R., Joseph, R. D., & Wright, G. S. 1994, *ApJ*, 421, 101
- Elias, J. H., Frogel, J. A., Matthews, K., & Neugebauer, G. 1982, *AJ*, 87, 1029
- Elias, J. H., Frogel, J. A., & Humphreys, R. M. 1985, *ApJS*, 57, 91
- Elston, R., & Silva, D. R. 1992, *AJ*, 104, 1360
- Emerson, D. T. 1974, *MNRAS*, 169, 607
- Faber, S. M. 1973, *ApJ*, 179, 423
- Freedman, W. L. 1989, *AJ*, 98, 1285
- Freedman, W. L. 1992, *AJ*, 104, 1349
- Frogel, J. A., & Whitford, A. E. 1987, *ApJ*, 320, 199
- Frogel, J. A., Mould, J., & Blanco, V. M. 1990, *ApJ*, 352, 96
- Frogel, J. A., Persson, S. E., Aaronson, M., & Matthews, K. 1978, *ApJ*, 220, 75
- Grillmair, C. J. et al. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1975
- Hardy, E. Couture, J., Couture, C., & Joncas, G. 1994, *AJ*, 107, 195
- Harris, G. L., Harris, W. E., & Poole, G. B. 1999, *AJ*, 117, 855
- James, P. A., & Mobasher, B. 1999, *MNRAS*, 306, 199
- Kent, S. M. 1987, *AJ*, 94, 306
- Kenyon, S. J. 1988, *AJ*, 96, 337
- Kormendy, J. 1985, *ApJ*, 295, 73
- Lauer et al. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 2263
- Loewenstein, M., Hayashida, K., Toneri, T., & Davis, D. S. 1998, *ApJ*, 497, 681
- Michard, R., & Nieto, J-L 1991, *A&A*, 243, L17
- Mobasher, B., & James, P. A. 1996, *MNRAS*, 280, 895
- Nieto, J-L, & Prugniel, P. 1987, *A&A*, 186, 30
- O’Connell, R. W. 1980, *ApJ*, 236, 430
- O’Connell, R. W. et al. 1992, *ApJ*, 395, L45
- Ohl, R. G. et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 505, L11
- Peletier, R. F. 1993, *A&A*, 271, 51
- Persson, S. E., Aaronson, M., Cohen, J. G., Frogel, J. A., & Matthews, K. 1983, *ApJ*, 266, 105

- Prugniel, P., Nieto, J-L, & Simien, F. 1987, A&A, 173, 49
- Rigaut, F. et al. 1998, PASP, 110, 152
- Rose, J. A. 1985, AJ, 90, 1927
- Rose, J. A. 1994, AJ, 107, 206
- Sage, L. J., Welch, G. A., & Mitchell, G. F. 1998, ApJ, 507, 726
- Sofue, Y., & Kato, T. 1981, PASJ, 33, 449
- Stetson, P. B. 1987, PASP, 99, 191
- Stetson, P. B. & Harris, W. E. 1988, AJ, 96, 909
- van den Bergh, S. 2000, The Galaxies of the Local Group, Cambridge Press, 11
- van der Marel, R. P. 1999, AJ, 117, 744
- Worthey, G. 1994, ApJS, 95, 107
- Yi, S. et al. 1999, ApJ, 513, 128

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1.— The M32 inner field, as imaged with the CFHT AOB + KIR in Ks . North is at the top, and East is to the left. The image covers 30×30 arcsec, and the galaxy nucleus is in the lower right hand corner. The unresolved background of the galaxy has been subtracted using the procedure described by Davidge et al. (1991).

Fig. 2.— The M32 outer field, as imaged with the CFHT AOB + KIR in Ks . North is at the top, and East is to the left. The image dimensions are roughly 30×30 arcsec. The bright source in the field center is the star GSC 02801 – 02082.

Fig. 3.— The $(K, H - K)$ and $(K, J - K)$ CMDs of the outer field. The RGB-tip occurs at $K = 17.8$, and stars brighter than this are evolving on the AGB. Data with K and $J - K$ measurements from Table 1 of Freedman (1992) are plotted as open squares in the right hand panel.

Fig. 4.— The K LF of the outer field. n_{05} is the number of stars per 0.5 mag interval per square arcsec. Incompleteness and photometric errors become significant when $K = 20$, and so data fainter than this are not shown. The dashed line is a power-law that was fit to the LF entries with K between 18 and 19.5. The discontinuity near $K = 17.8$ in the lower panel is due to the RGB-tip.

Fig. 5.— The bolometric LF of stars in the outer field. n_{05} is the number of stars per 0.5 mag interval per square arcsec. Bolometric corrections were computed from $J - K$ colors using the field giant calibration of Frogel & Whitford (1987). A distance modulus of 24.3 has been adopted for M32, and the data have been corrected for a foreground reddening of $E(B - V) = 0.08$ (Burstein & Heiles 1984). The dashed line in the lower panel is the LF of giants in the inner bulge of the Galaxy derived by Davidge (1998) and scaled to match the number of stars with $M_{bol} \leq -3.5$ in the M32 outer field.

Fig. 6.— The $(K, J - K)$ CMD of the outer field, compared with the AGB-tip and RGB-tip models for $z=0.001$, $z=0.004$, and $z=0.020$ from Bertelli et al. (1994). Models for four ages are shown. The solid lines connect the various points for each evolutionary phase, while the dashed lines connect the RGB-tip and AGB-tip values at each metallicity. The open squares show stars with $K \leq 16$ from Table 1 of Freedman (1992).

Fig. 7.— The K LFs of sources in Regions 2 and 3. n_{05} is the number of sources per 0.5 mag interval per square arcsec, and the errorbars show the 1σ uncertainties predicted from counting statistics. The dashed line shows the outer field LF scaled to match the stellar density in Regions 2 and 3 using surface brightness measurements from Kent (1987). The

outer field LFs shown in this figure have been adjusted for the effects of crowding expected in Regions 2 and 3 using the procedure described in the text.

Fig. 8.— The radial behaviour of $J - H$, $J - K$, and CO near the center of M32. The points plotted in this figure are azimuthal averages in 0.4 arcsec wide annuli.

Fig. 9.— The $(J - H, H - K)$ and $(CO, J - K)$ diagrams constructed from the data plotted in Figure 8. Points at 0.2 and 1.4 arcsec from the nucleus are labelled. The open squares are observations of clusters in the Magellanic Clouds from Persson et al. (1983), and the M32 data depart from the cluster sequence when $r \leq 1.0$ arcsec.

Region	Radii ^a (arcsec)	$\overline{\mu}_r$ ^b
1	0 – 5	14.7
2	5 – 20	17.5
3	20 – 43	19.0

Table 1: Radii and Surface Brightnesses of Regions 1, 2, and 3

^aMeasured from the galaxy center.

^bMean surface brightness, from Kent (1987).

















